

Chicago's Greater Power and Its Man-for-Man Superiority Make It Strong Favorite in Series With Cincinnati

Pat Moran, Baseball's Latest Miracle Man, and the Raging Reds, on Whom the National League Pins Its Hopes in the Big Series.



WHITE SOX ARE HARDEST HITTERS

Figures Show That Reds Excel in Fielding and Pitching.

CHICAGOANS BATTING .285

Cincinnati Is Second in National League With a Team Average of .263.

Averages of the rival world's series contenders show that the White Sox have quite a wide margin on the Reds in hitting while Cincinnati has quite an edge in fielding.

The White Sox enter the world's series with a more awe inspiring batting average than any team toted into it since 1911, when the Athletics averaged over .300 as a team.

Gleason's players are hitting .285 this year, a remarkably high team average. Despite the fact that the White Sox of 1919 are practically the same team that opposed the Giants in 1917, this year's team is batting .27 points better than the 1917 team. They hit .258 in the American League season and .274 against McGraw's left-handers in the world's series.

Cincinnati is second in National League club batting with .263, against an average of .257 for the 1917 Giants, considered a slugging combination.

In extra base hitting the White Sox have quite a lead in doubles, a small lead in base running, but are little to choose between the contending clubs, but the White Sox made a slightly better showing. The Chicago players stole 145 bases against 148 for the Reds.

Eddie Collins is the best Chicagoan in this line with 32 steals. He also leads the American League. Greasy Neale is more adept than any other Red at pilfering bases ahead of the catcher's throw. He has 23 steals.

The White Sox as a team have scored ninety more runs than Cincinnati, showing the superior drive of the White Sox machine. Gleason's outfit has piled up 646 runs against 554 for the Reds.

In fielding the Reds look more formidable. Their average is .975 against .969 for Chicago. The White Sox have made fourteen more errors, the error column standing at 119 for the Sox and ninety-five for the Reds.

Exciting pitchers the White Sox lead in hitting in two points the fact that about one base, left and right field and catcher. The Redland player has a higher percentage of third base, shortstop and pitcher. The utility strength of the Sox, as shown in the averages, also shows the Sox players in the secondancy.

White has the best record among the pitchers who will participate in the series. He has won twenty-nine games and lost seven for a percentage of .806. He has pitched 365 innings more than any man on either side. From Cloutie down the Red twirlers show up more formidable, as Luque, Ruether, Sallee, Fisher and Eller all have better averages than Williams, Chicago's second best pitcher.

UNION BOAT CLUB REGATTA. This afternoon the Union Boat Club, as a climax to its rowing activities, will hold its first-annual annual club regatta on the Hudson River.

Owing to the fact that about forty of its members were in the U. S. service, representing almost every branch of the army, this is the first attempt to hold a regatta in this year. There are six events scheduled for Sunday, as follows: Novice four, eight, twelve, eight shell, single six, four, eight and an exhibition by their senior eight oared shell crew.

Figures Showing How White Sox Compare With National League Champions

Copyright by Al Munro Elias.

FIRST BASE. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

SECOND BASE. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

THIRD BASE. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

LEFT FIELD. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

RIGHT FIELD. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CATCHERS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

UTILITY INFELDERS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

UTILITY OUTFIELDERS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

PITCHERS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB BATTING. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB FIELDING. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB PITCHING. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB TOTALS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB AVERAGES. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB PERCENTAGES. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB STANDINGS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB RECORDS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB HONORS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB AWARDS. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB LEGEND. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

CLUB NOTES. G. AB. R. H. 2B. 3B. HR. SB. SH. PO. E. CHICAGO. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273. CINCINNATI. 132 425 76 142 10 12 3 11 27 273.

"Sox," White or Red, Have Magic Meaning in Series

Chicago Variety Has Won Two World's Baseball Titles.

While the Boston Hue Has Accounted for Five—Neither Defeated.

Copyright 1919, all rights reserved.

The word Sox seems to carry some magic charm into world's series. The Red Sox have taken part in five and have won them all, while the Chicago White Sox have won both in which they have been engaged.

Under the management of Fiedler Jones the 1906 hitless wonder White Sox beat the Cubs four games out of six, and in 1917 the White Sox, headed by Clarence Rowland, beat the Giants by the same margin.

This will be the first time that the Chicago Americans will enter the world's series a favorite. In 1906 they were the underdog in the betting, the Cubs ranging from 2 to 1 to 3 to 1 in favor. In 1917 the betting was at even money.

The White Sox victory in 1906 was one of the biggest upsets of world's series warfare. The Cubs were first in National League club batting and the White Sox last in club batting in the American League. The Cubs won the National League pennant with the highest percentage ever achieved by any modern major league team, .763, and they had their pennant sailed away in August.

However, the Sox got the jump on them in the opening game, when Nick Altrock, now a comedian with the Washington club, beat Mordecai Brown in the opening game, 3 to 1, each pitcher permitting only four hits. Later in the series Brown got back at Altrock, beating him 1 to 0, but that opening victory gave the White Sox the jump.

Great Pitching Feats. The pitching of Walsh and Doc White and the great batting by George Rube White Sox utility infielder, were other factors in the unexpected White Sox victory. Critics figured the Sox had suffered a severe blow when George Davis, the crack shortstop of the team, was injured on the eve of the series. Jones was forced to shift his regular third baseman, Lee Tannehill, to short, while Rube was placed on third. George proceeded to crack out triples at regular intervals, which were largely responsible for the first and third Sox victories.

In many ways the 1917 series was a repetition of the 1906 series. Both series were tied at two games apiece when the White Sox clinched it by taking the fifth and sixth games.

The 1917 series was won largely by the good pitching of Faber and Cloutie, especially the former, who was credited with three victories and one defeat. Then the great all round play of Eddie Collins was another big factor in Chicago's victory.

The scores by innings of the different world's series games played by the White Sox follow:

1906. Oct. 8—At West Side Park—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 1 0 0 0—5 1 0. Cubs.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—1 4 3. Batteries—Altrock, Sullivan; Brown, Kling.

Oct. 10—At South Side Park—R. H. E. Cubs.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—0 2 3. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—1 1 1. Batteries—Davis, Seiders; Dougherty, Walsh and Sullivan; Plaster and Kling.

Oct. 12—At West Side Park—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—3 4 1. Cubs.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 3 3. Batteries—Davis, Seiders; Dougherty, Walsh and Sullivan; Plaster and Kling.

Oct. 14—At South Side Park—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—3 7 0. Cubs.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—4 1 2. Batteries—Brown and Sullivan; Brown, Overall and Kling.

Oct. 16—At New York—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 1 1. Cubs.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 1 1. Batteries—Messers, O'Loughlin and Johnston; White Sox, 4; Cubs, 2.

1917. Oct. 6—At Comiskey Park—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 7 1. Giants.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 7 1. Batteries—Cloutie and Schalk; Sallee and Schalk.

Oct. 8—At Chicago—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—7 14 1. Giants.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 7 1. Batteries—Cloutie, Williams, Faber and Schalk; Sallee, Perritt and Harden.

Oct. 10—At New York—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—4 7 1. Giants.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 4 2. Batteries—Klein, O'Loughlin; Evans and Rieger.

Oct. 12—At Chicago—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—14 6 2. Giants.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 7 1. Batteries—Cloutie, Williams, Faber and Schalk; Sallee, Perritt and Harden.

Oct. 14—At Chicago—R. H. E. White Sox.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—14 6 2. Giants.....0 0 0 0 0 0 0—2 7 1. Batteries—Cloutie, Williams, Faber and Schalk; Sallee, Perritt and Harden.

National League Pennant Winners

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1918—Chicago, Stallings.....32 15 .68. 1919—Chicago, Mitchell.....46 20 .69.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1900—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1901—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1902—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1903—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1904—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1905—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1906—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1907—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1908—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1909—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1910—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1911—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1912—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1913—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1914—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1915—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1916—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1917—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1918—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1919—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1920—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1921—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1922—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1923—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1924—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1925—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1926—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1927—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1928—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1929—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1930—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1931—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1932—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1933—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1934—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1935—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1936—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1937—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1938—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1939—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

Year. Winner. Manager. W. L. P.C. 1940—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60. 1941—Chicago, Griffith.....33 22 .60.

WHITE SOX FAVORED IN BETTING CIRCLES

Hold Upper Hand for First Time—Cincinnati Well Backed at Home.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.

Small bets, of course, have been made as the 1919 world's series approaches. The ruling odds are 7 to 5 in favor of the Chicago players. Cincinnati's indifferent play and the batting slump of the team at the end of the season have tended to increase the odds in Chicago's favor.